

INSTITUTE FOR AMERICAN MANUFACTURING
& TECHNOLOGY

ATLAS INSTITUTE FOR ECONOMIC SECURITY

Oil: The Price of Disruption

Oil Prices, Economic Cascades, and the Cost of Energy Vulnerability

Patrick J. Wolf, PhD

Senior Fellow, Institute for American Manufacturing & Technology
Atlas Institute

March 2026

Institute for American Manufacturing & Technology
iamtpolicy.org

Executive Summary

On February 28, 2026, the United States and Israel launched coordinated military strikes against Iran, killing Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei and triggering the most significant energy supply disruption since the 1973 Arab oil embargo. Within days, Iran retaliated with missile and drone attacks on U.S. bases, Israeli territory, and Gulf state infrastructure. The Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps declared the Strait of Hormuz closed, and tanker traffic through the waterway dropped to effectively zero.

The consequences are immediate and severe. Roughly 20 percent of the world's daily oil supply passes through the Strait of Hormuz. Iraq has shut down 1.5 million barrels per day of production, Kuwait has begun cutting output, and Qatar has halted LNG production. As of this writing, Brent crude trades above \$90 per barrel, WTI above \$106, and U.S. gasoline prices have surged from \$2.92 to \$3.45 per gallon in just over a week. Analysts warn that if the Strait remains closed through March, prices could reach \$150 per barrel.

This paper examines the downstream economic effects of sustained oil price increases across key price thresholds, from \$80 to \$150 per barrel. The analysis covers consumer spending, inflation, manufacturing costs, transportation, and broader macroeconomic impacts. The core finding is straightforward: America's energy independence narrative has been stress-tested and found wanting. Despite record domestic production exceeding 13.5 million barrels per day, the United States cannot insulate itself from a global price shock of this magnitude.

The Strategic Picture

Before the strikes, the global oil market was well-supplied. EIA forecasts from early February projected Brent crude averaging \$58 per barrel in 2026, with global production exceeding demand and inventories building. J.P. Morgan projected Brent at \$60 per barrel. Several analysts predicted WTI dipping into the \$40s. American drivers were paying under \$3 per gallon. The mood was complacent.

That complacency has been destroyed. The Strait of Hormuz is the single most critical energy chokepoint on earth. Approximately 13 million barrels per day of crude oil transited the waterway in 2025, representing 31 percent of all seaborne crude flows. An additional 20 percent of global LNG exports pass through it, primarily from Qatar. When Iran declared the Strait closed and backed it up with drone strikes on tankers, insurers pulled coverage. Major shipping firms, including Maersk, CMA CGM, and Hapag-Lloyd, suspended all transits. No naval blockade was required. Cheap drones accomplished what decades of Iranian threats could not.

The disruption is historically unprecedented. According to Rapidan Energy Group, the Iran war has disrupted 20 percent of global oil supply for nine consecutive days, more than double the previous record set during the Suez Crisis of 1956-57. Iraq has shuttered major oil fields because, with the Strait closed, there is nowhere to put the oil. Kuwait has cut production as a precautionary measure. JPMorgan estimates that production cuts could exceed 4 million barrels per day if the closure persists.

Saudi Arabia retains some capacity to bypass the Strait via its East-West Pipeline to the Red Sea port of Yanbu, with an estimated 2.6 million barrels per day of available bypass capacity between Saudi and UAE pipelines. But terminal infrastructure at Yanbu limits throughput, and these routes cannot offset a full Strait closure. Pakistan has already requested Saudi Arabia reroute shipments through Yanbu. Japan, which sources 95 percent of its crude from Gulf states transiting Hormuz, has asked its government to release strategic stockpiles.

Oil Price Thresholds and Economic Effects

The following analysis maps projected economic impacts across five crude oil price scenarios. These estimates draw on established macroeconomic relationships, including the widely-cited rule of thumb that every \$10 per barrel increase in oil prices reduces U.S. GDP by 0.1 to 0.3 percentage points and raises headline inflation by approximately 0.15 percentage points. The IMF estimates that a sustained 30 percent increase in oil prices reduces global GDP by up to 0.5 percentage points while boosting global inflation by about 1.2 percentage points.

Crude (bbl)	Gas (gal)	CPI Impact	GDP Drag	Economic Profile
\$80	\$3.20-3.40	+0.2-0.3%	-0.1%	Risk premium pricing. Manageable for most households. Manufacturing input costs rise modestly. Consumer sentiment weakens but spending holds.
\$100	\$3.75-4.00	+0.5-0.7%	-0.2-0.3%	Threshold of pain. Discretionary spending contracts. Diesel at \$4.50+ hits trucking margins and raises freight costs. Inflation re-accelerates. Fed holds rates or tightens.
\$120	\$4.25-4.75	+0.8-1.2%	-0.4-0.6%	Recessionary territory. Rural and low-income households cut spending sharply. Airline ticket prices surge. Petrochemical feedstock costs squeeze manufacturing. Retail spending contracts 10-15% in transport-dependent regions.
\$150	\$5.00-5.50	+1.5-2.0%	-0.8-1.2%	Crisis-level. Comparable to 2008 and mid-2022 peaks. Consumer confidence collapses. Energy-intensive manufacturing (chemicals, steel, cement, fertilizer) faces margin compression or shutdown. Global recession risk sharply elevated.

Note: Gasoline estimates follow the established conversion ratio of approximately 2.5 cents per gallon for every \$1 increase in crude oil per barrel. CPI and GDP figures reflect annualized impacts of sustained price levels over a 6-12 month period. Actual outcomes depend on duration, Federal Reserve response, and fiscal offsets.

Consumer Impact: The Tax Nobody Voted For

Higher oil prices function as a regressive tax. Every sustained one-cent increase in gasoline costs American consumers an additional \$1.4 billion per year, according to Moody's chief economist Mark Zandi. The burden falls disproportionately on lower-income households that spend a higher share of their budgets on fuel and cannot easily substitute away from driving.

The share of U.S. consumer spending going to gasoline and motor fuel was 1.8 percent in December 2025, down from over 5 percent after the 1979 Iranian Revolution. This structural decline reflects improved fuel efficiency, electrification, and a less energy-intensive economy. The oil intensity of U.S. GDP has fallen roughly 70 percent since the 1973 crisis. These are real buffers, but they do not eliminate the shock.

Rural Americans face the sharpest impact. Transportation costs, including fuel, comprise approximately 15 to 18 percent of average household expenditure, the second-largest category after housing. Rural states allocate 4 to 6 percent of household income to energy, compared to 2 to 3 percent in urban areas with public transit. At \$120 per barrel, states with the highest transportation cost burdens historically experience 15 to 20 percent retail spending contractions.

The psychological dimension matters as much as the arithmetic. Gasoline prices are among the most visible prices in the economy. When they spike, consumer confidence drops even if the actual household budget impact is modest in percentage terms. With U.S. consumer confidence already at record lows before the strikes, this shock arrives at the worst possible time. Housing costs have been rising for all but the highest income quintile, leaving less room for any additional squeeze.

Diesel, Freight, and the Supply Chain Multiplier

While the gasoline price gets the headlines, it is diesel fuels that does the economic damage. America moves goods on trucks, and trucks run on diesel. At current trajectory, diesel prices could reach \$4.25 to \$4.45 per gallon, up from \$3.71 in mid-February. GasBuddy and OPIS analysts project even higher ceilings if the Strait closure persists.

Higher diesel costs cascade through the entire supply chain, with trucking companies operating on thin margins passing costs forward. This impact has a cascading effect, as grocery prices rise, construction materials cost more to deliver, and agricultural inputs become more expensive at precisely the time spring planting begins. The American consumer pays more for essentially everything, not because the goods themselves cost more to produce, but because they cost more to move.

Jet fuel prices follow crude oil closely. Airlines absorb or pass through fuel costs depending on competitive dynamics and hedging positions. Sustained oil above \$100 per barrel historically triggers fuel surcharges on airline tickets, reducing air travel demand. The global travel industry faces \$11.7 trillion in exposed economic activity according to multiple analysts tracking the conflict.

Manufacturing and Industrial Exposure

Energy-intensive manufacturing sectors face immediate input cost pressure when crude prices spike. Chemicals, steel, cement, and fertilizer are the most directly exposed industries. Petrochemical companies face a double bind: lower oil prices reduce feedstock costs but often correlate with weaker demand, while higher oil prices raise feedstock costs but may also signal the kind of geopolitical instability that suppresses industrial demand.

Natural gas prices have risen in tandem with crude. When Qatar shut down LNG production following Iranian attacks, European and Asian LNG prices surged. U.S. Henry Hub natural gas already averaged \$7.72 per MMBtu in January, up 81 percent from December due to winter demand. The new war-driven disruptions layer onto an already tight market.

For American manufacturers, the situation presents a paradox. Record U.S. crude production should, in theory, insulate domestic industry. The United States exports nearly a third of the oil it produces and imports nearly a third of what it consumes, because the grades of crude produced domestically are optimized for gasoline, not the full range of petroleum products. Diesel, kerosene, and heavy fuel oils require different crude inputs. Energy independence in volume does not mean energy independence in price.

The Structural Argument: Why This Time Is Different

Three features distinguish the current shock from prior oil crises.

First, the disruption is larger in absolute terms. The Strait of Hormuz handles roughly 20 percent of global oil supply. The 1973 Arab embargo removed approximately 7 to 9 percent. The 1979 Iranian Revolution disrupted about 4 percent. The current crisis, by scope of supply at risk, is the largest chokepoint disruption in modern energy history.

Second, it arrives into economic weakness. Strip out AI-related capital investment and U.S. cyclical GDP growth is running at approximately 0.6 percent in nominal terms, which is negative when adjusted for inflation. Labor market vacancies are falling and unemployment is ticking up. Consumer confidence was at record lows before the war began, and now the economy has no cushion.

Third, the policy toolkit is constrained. The Federal Reserve spent 2022-2023 executing the fastest rate-hiking cycle since the 1980s to tame inflation. Headline CPI had eased, but core inflation remained sticky. A renewed oil-driven inflation surge would force the Fed into an impossible choice: hold rates and risk recession, or cut rates and risk re-igniting inflation. Neither option is good. The 2022 experience, when the Fed hiked 425 basis points in nine months and still saw inflation persist at 3.4 percent at year-end, demonstrates the limits of monetary policy against supply shocks.

Duration Is Everything

The economic damage is a function of duration, not peak price. A two-week spike to \$120 per barrel is painful but recoverable. A two-month plateau above \$100 fundamentally alters inflation expectations, corporate investment decisions, and consumer behavior. The historical pattern is clear: brief geopolitically-driven crude rallies eventually subside, but sustained disruptions to production and shipping infrastructure create lasting damage.

Energy Secretary Chris Wright stated publicly that the disruption would last weeks, not months. But industry analysts are less optimistic on this war being a short-term disruption. Even if military operations end quickly, damaged refining and processing facilities in the Gulf region will take time to repair. Insurance markets will take longer to normalize. Shipping companies will not voluntarily send tankers through a recently active combat zone on the strength of government assurances. As the CEO of Greece-based Seenergy Maritime noted, normal traffic will not resume until companies are confident that transit is genuinely safe, and that confidence cannot be decreed.

The tail risk scenario is a sustained Strait closure lasting into April or beyond. At that point, Iraq, Kuwait, and potentially the UAE and Saudi Arabia face forced production shutdowns as storage fills. JPMorgan estimates that production cuts could exceed 4 million barrels per day. Qatar's energy minister has warned that prices could reach \$150 per barrel under those conditions, an outcome he described as one that could bring down the economies of the world.

Policy Implications

The current crisis exposes three structural vulnerabilities that policymakers must address regardless of how this conflict resolves.

Energy infrastructure diversification is not optional. The concentration of global energy flows through a single narrow waterway has been understood as a vulnerability for decades. The policy response has been inadequate. Saudi Arabia's East-West Pipeline and UAE bypass routes are partially built but are insufficient at scale. Strategic petroleum reserves provide temporary buffer but cannot substitute for sustained supply.

Domestic refining capacity must match domestic production. The United States produces more crude oil than any nation in history. It simultaneously imports refined products because its refining infrastructure is not configured to process the light sweet crude it produces into the full range of fuels the economy requires. This is a solvable engineering and permitting problem that has been deferred for decades.

Price exposure cannot be hedged by volume alone. The energy independence narrative, as popularly understood, is incomplete. America produces enough oil. It does not produce enough of the right kinds of oil, processed into the right products, delivered through enough diversified supply channels, to insulate its economy from a global price shock. The solution is not more drilling. It is smarter infrastructure, diversified refining, and strategic reserves sized for genuine worst-case scenarios.

Conclusion

Oil above \$100 per barrel is not a theoretical exercise. It is the reality of March 8, 2026. Brent crude closed the week at \$92.69 and opened on Sunday above \$107. WTI crossed \$106. American gasoline has climbed 47 cents per gallon in a single week, the fastest spike since Hurricane Katrina. Diesel is approaching \$4.60 per gallon.

The downstream effects are predictable because they follow well-established economic relationships. Higher energy costs compress consumer spending, raise transportation and logistics costs, squeeze manufacturing margins, and re-ignite inflation at a moment when the Federal Reserve has limited room to maneuver. The impact falls hardest on lower-income and rural households, on energy-intensive industries, and on the small businesses that operate on thin margins and cannot absorb input cost shocks.

The United States is better positioned than it was in 1973 or 1979. The economy has structural buffers that earlier generations lacked, but those buffers reduce the impact, they do not eliminate it. And they will not prevent a recession if oil stays above \$120 per barrel for an extended period.

The question is no longer whether higher oil prices will cause economic pain. The question is how long the pain lasts, and whether policymakers learn the right lessons from it.

The Institute for American Manufacturing & Technology (IAMT) is a nonpartisan policy research organization focused on American industrial competitiveness, energy security, and technological sovereignty.

iamtpolicy.org